UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 31, 1995

SUBJECT: Request for a Time-Critical Removal Action at the

Nease Chemical Site, Salem, Columbiana County, Ohio

(Site Spill # A38, CERCLIS # OHD980610018)

FROM: Sheila A. Sullivan, OSC/RPM Julium

TO: William E. Muno, Director Waste Management Division

Jodi L. Traub, Associate Division Director THRU:

Office of Superfund

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to request and document approval of the time-critical removal action described herein for the Nease Chemical Site, located in the City of Salem, Columbiana County, Ohio. This Site is on the National Priorities List, and is currently undergoing a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS). removal action is necessary to abate the immediate threat to human health and the environment posed by the off-site migration of contaminated leachate seeps, sediments and surface water runoff. The principal site-specific contaminants include mirex, photomirex, kepone, other semivolatile organics, volatile organics, and metals. removal action seeks to alleviate these threats by collecting and treating the contaminated leachate, groundwater and surface waters on-site, and by constructing the necessary physical barriers and controls to prevent sediment movement from the Site.

II. SITE CONDITIONS AND BACKGROUND

A. Site Description

Removal site evaluation

The Nease Chemical Company produced a variety of chemical compounds that contained known or suspected human carcinogens. Hazardous substances were released to the soils and groundwater through unlined ponds on-site that were used by Nease to treat manufacturing process waste. Contaminants were also probably released to the soils and

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.

groundwater when hazardous substances escaped from drums that had been buried on-site. Contamination was released to the Middle Fork of Little Beaver Creek (MFLBC) through surface water run off from the ponds into the creek. Over the years, U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA conducted investigations and inspections on and around the Nease property and documented contamination of topsoils, sub-surface soils and groundwater, as well as in the surface water, sediments, and some living organisms in MFLBC.

- On April 24, 1992, U.S. EPA collected water and sediment samples from the Nease Chemical Site. Chemical analysis of a sediment sample indicated the presence of Mirex at 4,400 parts per billion (ppb), 1,2-dichloroethene (total) at 2,000 ppb, methylene chloride at 1,800 ppb, and tetrachloroethylene (PCE) at 1,900 ppb. A surface water sample revealed the presence of Mirex at 2.5 ppb, 1-2-dichloroethene (total) at 4,000 ppb, methylene chloride at 1,000 ppb, and acetone at 2,000 ppb.
- On October 15, 1992, U.S. EPA collected additional sediment and water samples on or immediately adjacent to the Nease Chemical Site. The surface water sample analysis showed the presence of 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane at 1,500 ppb, 1,2-dichloroethane at 710 ppb, trichloroethylene (TCE) at 420 ppb, benzene at 1,200 ppb, PCE at 570 ppb, and toluene at 120 ppb. The sediment samples showed the presence of Mirex in all of the sample locations. The highest concentration was 170,000 ppb.
- On November 24, 1992, U.S. EPA collected soil and water samples from a leachate seep on the Nease Chemical Site. The soil contained levels of chlorobenzene at 880 ppb and Mirex at 3,200 ppb. The water contained vinyl chloride at 190 ppb, trans-1,2- dichloroethene at 390 ppb, chloroform at 5 ppb, 1,2-dichloroethane at 67 ppb, TCE at 68 ppb, benzene at 73 ppb, toluene at 22 ppb, chlorobenzene at 340 ppb, and Mirex at 2 ppb.
- The data from groundwater monitoring wells that Nease placed on- and off-site in conjunction with an ongoing RI/FS, revealed concentrations of up to 150 ppb of Mirex in the groundwater.

2. Physical location

The Nease Chemical Site is located 2.5 miles northwest of the City of Salem, Ohio in northern Columbiana County, near the border of southern Mahoning County. The Site is situated on the north side of State Route 14, and is west of Allen Road. Conrail railroad tracks traverse the Site. The Site covers approximately 44 acres and is surrounded by lightly developed land on three sides and an industrial plant (Crane-Deming Company) on the northeast side. To the immediate north is a large wooded area, and to the south is a large field. The Salem Waste Water Treatment Plant is located about 2,400 feet east of the Site and there are homes immediately east and southwest of the Site. A total of 124 residences are located within one mile of the Site, and 45 of these are located within a one-half mile radius of the Site.

The Site is located on a topographic high, the axis of which runs southeast and northwest. The majority of the Site slopes to the northeast and drains toward the MFLBC. The MFLBC is considered an important natural resource to this region. The headwaters of the MFLBC originate about four river miles upstream of the Nease Chemical Site. The Creek flows north from the Site for about five river miles through pasture lands and then turns southward and runs about 35 miles to its confluence with the Ohio River. Certain stretches of the MFLBC are designated as wild and scenic. The ecological corridors along the MFLBC are considered to be diverse wetlands on which a number of state parks and forests are situated. The Egypt Swamp, a 500-acre contiguous wetland, is hydrologically connected to the Creek and is an important delineated wetland in this area.

3. Site characteristics

Nease Chemical Company owned and operated a chemical manufacturing plant on the Site from 1961 until 1975. From 1961 until 1973, Nease Chemical Company produced a variety of chemical compounds including household cleaning compounds, fire retardants, pesticides, and other related chemical intermediates, including compounds that are known or suspected human carcinogens. All of Nease's chemical manufacturing processes at its Salem, Ohio facility ceased in 1975 after the plant was decommissioned.

No formal U.S. EPA removal activities have been previously conducted at the Site. Several preliminary and remedial investigations, however, have occurred with regard to this Site.

4. Release or threatened release into the environment of a hazardous substance, or pollutant or contaminant

Chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethene, chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, TCE, benzene, toluene, PCE, tetrachloroethane, and Mirex are "hazardous substances" as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(14).

Currently, leachate seeps into surface and ground water on the Nease Chemical Site, provide a route for the migration of the contaminants to the waters of and wetlands along the MFLBC. In the past, hazardous substances had been released to the soils and groundwater through unlined ponds on-site that were used by Nease to treat manufacturing process waste. Contaminants were also probably released to the soils and groundwater when hazardous substances escaped from drums that Nease had buried on-site. Contamination was further released to MFLBC through tributaries of the creek that run through the Nease property.

Because there are a number of residences located near the Site, there is a risk that humans and domestic animals, could come into contact with contaminated soils and surface waters, and further spread the contamination to other areas.

5. NPL status

In late 1983, the Nease Chemical Site was placed on the National Priorities List (NPL). Pursuant to the Administrative Order by Consent, effective February 26, 1988, Ruetgers-Nease Corporation is currently completing an RI/FS for the Site.

B. Other Actions To Date

1. Previous Actions

The Ohio EPA Wastewater Program conducted enforcement activities which led to the eventual closure and decommissioning of the Nease Chemical manufacturing facility in 1975. Nease voluntarily conducted response activities, after the Ohio EPA Department of Emergency and Remedial Response (DERR) and U.S. EPA inspections revealed releases of hazardous substances to surface and ground water through leachate seeps on-site. These voluntary activities, however, were ineffective in controlling the problem.

2. Current actions

The Ruetgers-Nease Corporation, on behalf of Nease Chemical, is currently conducting an RI/FS at the Site. Both U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA are overseeing this process. The removal activities prescribed by this Memorandum will ultimately be integrated into the selected remedial action.

C. State and Local Authorities' Roles

1. State and local actions to date

In 1973, Ohio EPA (OEPA) cited Nease for wastewater violations which resulted in Nease entering into a Consent Order with OEPA to discontinue manufacturing operations until a new wastewater permit could be obtained. Instead of constructing a wastewater treatment system, Nease chose to discontinue manufacturing at the Site. Under the supervision of OEPA, decommissioning activities followed shortly thereafter until 1975.

The Ohio EPA DERR, under a Management Assistance Grant from U.S. EPA, is providing review and oversight of the removal action activities. The Ohio Department of Health is conducting a human exposure assessment study at the Site as a follow-up to the positive mirex results from the previous biomonitoring. A Community Assistance Panel has been formed to foster information exchange with ODH during the study. The Ohio Department of Natural Resources has been involved in the issues impacting the MFLBC, the local and state parks, and other associated wetlands. The Reynoldsburg, Ohio office of the U.S. Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service has been involved in the remedial project in a review capacity.

2. Potential for continued State/local response

The OEPA has recently requested additional funding under the Management Assistance Grant to conduct joint oversight of the removal activities. U.S. EPA will continue to coordinate with all currently involved State and local authorities.

III. THREATS TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR WELFARE OR THE ENVIRONMENT, AND STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

Conditions currently exist at the Nease Chemical Site, which if not addressed by implementing the removal action documented herein, may pose an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health or welfare or

the environment. The actions discussed in this Memorandum, if properly performed, are consistent with the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300, as amended, and CERCLA; and are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment because of the following factors:

a. actual or potential exposure to nearby human populations, animals, or the food chain from hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants;

Populations and the environment surrounding the Site are actually or potentially exposed to hazardous material from the Site because of the facility's proximity to residential and agricultural areas. The nearest residence is about 50 feet from the Nease Chemical Site. There are also some dairy farms in the vicinity of the Site. On-site tributaries, which empty into MFLBC, could provide an avenue for hazardous substances to come into contact with and contaminate wildlife and domestic animals, and to enter the food chain. A fence currently surrounds the Site. 1982, on-site tributaries have been addressed with the installation and periodic upgrading of fabric filter barriers, rock barriers, and the presence of soil erosion control measures such as a seeded grass covered area, geotextile erosion control matting, and diversion ditches and outlet control structures to serve as up-stream measures to limit transport of sediment into the MFLBC and to serve as an erosion control measure.

b. weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants to migrate or be released;

The facility is located in Northeast Ohio which has heavy rainstorms in the summer and heavy snow in the winter. The potential for surface run-off from the contaminated areas of the Site to the surrounding property exists during heavy precipitation events. There is also a potential for contaminant migration into the groundwater and the MFLBC.

c. other situations or factors which may pose threats to public health or welfare or the environment.

The potential exists for surface water contamination to migrate from the Site to the MFLBC, a tributary of the Ohio River. A sediment sample collected from the off-site tributary adjacent to the east side of the railroad tracks, has indicated mirex at elevated levels.

IV. ENDANGERMENT DETERMINATION

Given the Site conditions, the nature of the hazardous substances on Site, and the potential exposure pathways to nearby human and ecological populations described in the preceding sections, actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances from this Site, if not addressed by implementing the response action selected in this Action Memorandum, may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, or welfare, or the environment.

V. REMOVAL ACTIONS AND ESTIMATED COSTS

A. Proposed Actions

1. Description of removal activities

The following actions are designed to alleviate the potential and actual threats to human health and the environment posed by the hazardous contaminants at the Site:

- Develop a Work Plan for the removal action that includes a Site safety and health plan, a sampling and analysis plan, and a schedule of the work to be performed;
- Collect all contaminated groundwater from the existing collection areas, and properly dispose of it off-site;
- Install float activated pumps in the existing groundwater collection system and initiate continuous pumping of leachate;
- Commence operation of the existing on-site leachate treatment system located in the metal warehouse building on the Nease property;
- Review shallow hydrogeologic data from the Remedial Investigation and July 29, 1993 sampling results and, utilizing that information, 1) develop, as an addendum to the Work Plan, surface water management and leachate collection and treatment measures for the area of the Nease Chemical Site that lies Northeast of the Conrail tracks, and 2) develop, as an addendum to the Work Plan, any additional necessary measures for the existing leachate collection and treatment system on the Nease Chemical Site at large.

The addendum to the Work Plan shall include a schedule for the installation of the work discussed therein;

- Install and commence operation of the surface water management and leachate collection and treatment measures for the area of the Nease Chemical Site that lies Northeast of the Conrail tracks, and completely install and commence operation of any additional necessary measures for the existing leachate collection and treatment system on the Nease Chemical Site at large.
- Inspect, monitor, contain, and address documented leachate releases and seeps.
- Dispose of hazardous materials from the Nease Chemical Site at a facility approved by the On-Scene Coordinator and in accordance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. Section 6901, et seq., as amended, the U.S. EPA Revised Off-Site Policy, and all other applicable Federal, State, and local requirements.

2. Contribution to remedial performance

An array of remedies will be evaluated for the Nease Chemical NPL Site during the Feasibility Study phase of the remedial process. As the RI/FS is continuing, no remedial action has been selected at this time. Specific threats exist at this Site, which must be addressed prior to long-term remediation. threats are detailed in Section III a-c of this The production of leachate and its Memorandum. potential migration off-site must be abated completely via the collection and treatment of existing leachate and the prevention of leachate formation via the extraction of shallow groundwater from the surficial sand aquifers whose outcroppings are coincident with leachate seeps. The movement of contaminated sediments, surface soils and surface water must be stabilized to protect public health, welfare and the environment until a permanent remedy can be effected. This stabilization will be accomplished via the engineering controls described in Section III a. anticipated that this removal action, when completed, will provide for groundwater collection and treatment and contaminated soils/sediment removal; therefore, the specified removal action will be entirely consistent with the future remedial actions selected for selected for this Site.

3. Applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements

All Federal ARARs and State ARARs identified in a timely manner for this removal action will be complied with to the extent practicable.

4. Project schedule

The estimate project schedule is attached.

B. Estimated Costs

The costs for this action are estimated at two-million dollars. Pursuant to an Administrative Order By Consent (Attachment D), Ruetgers-Nease Corporation has agreed to fund this removal action and to pay U.S. EPA's oversight costs.

VI. EXPECTED CHANGE IN THE SITUATION SHOULD ACTION BE DELAYED OR NOT TAKEN

Although health advisory signs have been posted along the MFLBC, the gravity of this situation may not be fully realized by the potentially exposed populations. Delayed action will increase both human and ecological health risks to the populations inhabiting the MFLBC and adjacent floodplain corridors.

VII. OUTSTANDING POLICY ISSUES

No additional outstanding policy issues remain that were not previously addressed.

VIII. RECOMMENDATION

This decision document represents the selected removal action for the Nease Chemical Site in Salem, Ohio, developed in accordance with CERCLA, as amended, and not inconsistent with the NCP. This decision is based on the Administrative Record for the Site. Conditions at the Site meet the NCP Section 300.415 (b) (2) criteria for a removal and I recommend your approval of the above-described removal action.

APPROVE:	Wm	5	Mum	DATE:	6/16/95
	Director,	Waste	Management	Division	7
DISAPPROVE:				DATE:	
	Director,	Waste	Management	Division	

Attachments:

- A. Detailed Removal Action Schedule
- B. Updated Treatment Plant Schedule
 C. Administrative Record Index
 D. Administrative Order

Berry 12 1994 BUTTOLER BEAST COMPUNATION. GALEN BATE, ONLIN. -----TASK I CHRISTING CONTECTION OF CO. -----TARE & INSTALL POSTS CONTINUE POST TARR & COLD SILE SPEATHER FOLIAGE -----Implyment IF Medifications -----Pragare D & M Menuel Prapara O S 18 Manual **** * ****** **** Agen . Merrem and Appress tuer a fier am a didentificati Party in Analysis Tuns ny fast Magazin Masi na tatra i na byangmiliasiyo Pump -- 1011 Papell Bertan Estraction System Design Fremhoonid, F1041-40 E01-541 By4100 Be4-50 Agenty Sector and Sepress!" ------------raccati implement de Systom Prapara Basimont Sampling Plan Propers Secious Sampling Flan keepigneessee (Agent, Bottom' Appeny Nov. pm. Bedinger Sampling Plan tiretire Sadiment Sampling Floo Agen.y Resiem and Approval? Install.coptoment 49 Magazine

)

	FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION	N
	GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC ship Road, Suite 200 , New Jersey 08054	C. Telephone: (609) 273-1110 Fax: (609) 273-0778
DATE	March 29, 1995	Project No.: 933-6158
TO:	Sheila Sullivan, USEPA (312) 353-5541 Joan Gonzalez, B&VWST (312) 346-4781	
FR:	Brian Eichlin	
RE:	RUETGERS-NEASE TREATMENT PLANT	SCHEDULE
Total Num	her of pages (including this cover page) 2	
modification the modifie Ructgers N critical item spent active for monitor	Site, Salem, Ohio. Please note that this schedulers, commissioning, and checkout of the new equipment of system. The schedule is based on conversations will case's contractor for the treatment plant modifications ms, such as profile approvals by PADER and Environment carbon, will proceed without delay. The schedulering the performance of the Treatment Plant. Sampling proved Treatment Plant Modifications Work Plan Reviews	nt and start-up and monitoring of th Burlington Environmental Inc. s, and the assumption that certain otrol. Inc for regeneration of the includes expected sampling dates g will be performed in accordance
and I will I	ard a copy of this schedule to Joe Gadomsk: from Blackeep him informed of my schedule relating to site visits should have any questions regarding this schedule	
Regards,		
Brian Eich	lin	
	ilph Pearce, Ruetgers-Nease • Gadomski, Black & Veatch (312) 346~1781	

- 1112 11211 H. - 141114

THE DUCUMENT(S) WITH THIS TRANSMISSION ARE ONLY FOR RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE AND CONTAIN PRIVILEGRACONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE, DISSEMINATION, AND/OR COPYING OF THIS TRANSMISSION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF RECEIVED IN ERROR, PLEASE DESTRUY, QUESTIONS/PROBLEMS WITH TRANSMISSION: CONTACT THE OPERATOR AT (604) 273-1110.

PLEASE MARK TIME AFTER TRANSMISSION

Sent at _____ am/pm

Revised: 29 - Mar - 95

933-6158.560B

Ructger's Nease Salem Ohio - Treatment Plant Modifications Schedule

Task;Week	Week of 3/27	Week of 4/3	Week of 4/10	Week of 4/17	Week of 4/24	Week of 5/01	Week of 5/08
Electrical and Mechanical				1	1	[
Completion				}	1		İ
Contractor Comissioning &							
Replace GAC's		•	I		•	•	Ì
System Start - up & Testing	•						
Day One Sampling	1	i	i	•		5/01	
Day Five Sampling	İ			•	•	5:05	
Neek Two Samping	1 1		1		1		5/10
, 3					1	İ	

U.S. EPA ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD REMOVAL ACTION NEASE CHEMICAL COMPANY SALEM, OHIO

ORIGINAL 03/30/95

Attachment C

300	CATE	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT	TITLE/DESCRIPTION	PAGES
====	====	======	========	=======================================	=====
1	11/17/93	U.S. EPA	Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, Inc.	Administrative Order by Consent	19
2	11/23/93	Golder Associates Inc.	U.S. EPA	Treatment Plant Performance Evaluation work Plan (Revision 1)	490
3	92/00/94	Solder Associates Inc.	U.S. EPA	Treatment Plant Performance Evaluation Report	354
ı	04/00/94	Golder Associates Inc.	U.S. EPA	Removal Action Work Plan (Revision 2); Volume 1 of 2: Work Plan and Treatment Plant Performance Evaluation Work Plan	92
5	04/00/94	Solder Associates Inc.	U.S. EPA	Removal Action Work Plan (Revision 2); Volume 2 of 2: Field Sampling Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, and Health and Safety Plan	686
6	06/00/94	Golder Associates Inc.	U.S. EPA	Treatment Plant Modifications Work Plan (Revision 2)	67
7	07/00/ 94	Golder Associates Inc.	U.S. EPA	Treatment Plant Modifications Design Technical Memorandum, Final	68
8	00/00/95	U.S. EPA		Action Memorandum (PENDING)	i)

PAIX ND 05/16/89

-INDEXCOMPENDIUM OF CERICIA RESPONSE SELECTION QUIDANCE DOQUIENTS

KK)	wit title	læle	Authors	Slatus :	-		Attactments	OSHER/EPA NUMBER
(NKN)	1 INXX TO CONTINUIUM OF CIRCLA RESPONSE SELECTION QUIDANCE LICOLMINTS	05/01/89	- OMPE - PRC-BAVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	final	8		1) DATA ELEMENT DEFINITIONS 2) CREANIZATIONAL MEREVIATIONS AND ACRONMS ICANITETED IN INJEX	
••	Pre Remedial							
0001	1 EXPANSED STIE INSPECTION TRANSTITIONAL CUIDANCE FOR FY-88 1 FRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT CUIDANCE FISCAL YEAR 1988	10/01/87 01/01/88	- CEPR - OBRASCO	final Final	74 83			OSMER #9345 1 02 OSMER #9345 0 U1
••	Removal Action							
1000 1001	E CERCIA RIMOVAL ACTIONS AT METHWAE RELÉASE SITES F CLISTS OF REMIDIAL RESISTANCE ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLED FAZARIXAS WASTE SITES T EMPRISACY RESISTANCE FROM STREET FOR CONTROL OF FAZARIXAS SERSIANCE	01/01/81	- LONCEST, HIL ZOURR - RISHEL, HIL, ET AL ZSCS INCINEERS - ALBRECHT, O W ZMERL - MELVOLD, R W ZROOKWELL INTERNALIONAL	i mal	2 164 23			USMIR #9340-0-8 EPA-6007D-84-021
1003 / 1004	RELEASES 1 FAVIRGAMINIAL REVIEW REQUIREMINTS FOR REMOVAL ACTIONS 1 CLINEWICE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE "CLINERIBLE TO REMODIAL	04/13/87 04/06/87	- MCCARTHY, L. T. /MERL - CERR/END - COMER	fin al Final		2		OSMER #9318 0 05 OSMER #9360 0 13
tooa	PERFORMANCE: FROVISION 2 CLICANCE ON NON NPL REMOVAL ACTIONS INMOLVING NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT OR PROCEDENT SETTING ISSUES	04/03/89	- LONGEST, HIL /OFFR	Final	9	1	1) RIGIST HER CINCIPRIN 1	(ISMIR #9360 0 19
1005	1 INFORMATION ON ERINKING WATER ACTION LEVELS	04/19/88	- FIELDS, JR., T./OSMER/DRD	final	17	2	1) MEMO RELEASES FROM LAMILLEY APPLIED PESTICITIES 2) MEMO EBOY CONTAMINATION 3) CUIDANCE FOR ETHALBAE DIRROMIDE IN CRINCING FQO	
(1006	1 9 PIRIUN RIMOVAL PROJECTION #3	02/01/88	- OSMER/OFFIR	Final	365	1		CISMER #9360 0 030
1001	I THE ROLE OF EXPEDITED RESIGNSE ACTIONS UNDER SARA	04/21/87	- LONCEST, HIL /OFRR	Final	3	2		OSMER #9360 0 15
4(k)}	26 INTERIM FINAL CUIDAN'S ON REACTION LEVELS AT CONTAMINATED TRINKIN, WATER STILLS (Seccusiary Reference)	10/06/87	· CISMIR/CIENR	timi	9	2		CEMIR #9360-1-01
(AR)	32 RIMOVAL COST MANUALINI MANUAL [Secondary Reference]	04/01/88	· CISHER/CILHR	Limit	170	1		CHARL #93GEO O'RE

- INDEX-

COMPRIDITION OF CERCLA RESPONSE SELECTION QUIDWIX DOCUMENTS

** RIVES - CHICLE 2000 2 CASE STUDIES 1 23 REMEDIAL RESPONSE AT 2001 3 EPA CLAIDE FOR MINIMIZING THE ADVERSE BANGE OF UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOUS-MASTE STUDIES UNDER CERCLA 2003 3 JOINT CORPSZEPA CLAIDANCE (VOL. 1-1V)	Date 	Authors		-	er Atlactments	OSMER/EPA NUMBER
PRIVIS - CHIRIAI 2000 2 CASE STUDIES 1-23 REMEDIAL RESPONSE AT 2001 3 EPA CLAUX FOR MINIMIZING THE ADMERSE BANGLES OF UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOUS-MASTE 2002 3 CLAUMNCE FOR CUNDUCTING REMEDIAL INMESTIGATION OF THE CORPS/EPA CLAUMNCE 2003 3 JOHN CORPS/EPA CLAUMNCE 2004 4 MODELING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLE						*********
2 CASE STUDIES 1-23 REMEDIAL RESPONSE AT 2001 3 EPA CLARIX FOR MINIMIZING THE ADMERSE BAN CLEANLY OF UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOLIS-MASTE 2003 3 JOHN CORPSTEPA CLADACTE 2004 4 MODELING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLE						
2 CASE STUDIES 1-23 REMEDIAL RESPONSE AT 2001 3 EPA CLARIX FOR MINIMIZING THE ADMERSE BAN CLEANLY OF UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOLIS-MASTE 2003 3 JOHN CORPSTEPA CLADACTE 2004 4 MODELING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLE						
2001 3 EPA CLAIDE FOR MINIMIZING INE ADVERSE BAN CLEANLY OF UNCOMBOLLED HAZARDOLIS-MASTE 2003 3 CLAIDANCE FOR CONDUCTING REMEDIAL INVESTI STUDIES UNDER CORGLA 2003 3 JOHN CORPSZEPA CLAIDANCE 2004 4 MODELING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCOMBOLLE						•
CLEANLY OF UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOUS-MASTE 3(X)) 3 CLHOMICE FOR CONDUCTING REMEDIAL INVESTI STUDIES UNDER CORCLA 3003 3 JOHN CORPS/EPA CLHOMICE 3004 4 MODELING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLE	HNZARDOLS WASTE STIES 03/01/84	- CRO/DEET/MERL - CIMER/DEMR	final	630 1		EPA 540/2-84/0028
STUDIES UNDER CERCIA 2003 3 JOHNT CORPS/EPA CLIDANCE 2004 4 MODELLING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLE		- EMPROMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY	final	250 2		EPA/600/8-85/008
2004 4 MODELING REMEDIAL ACTIONS AT UNCONTROLLE	ICATIONS AND FEASIBILITY 10/01/88	- 094ER/OEBR	final	390 1		OSM(N #9355-3-01
	06/24/83	- OERR/PAS	Final	42 2		LISHER #9295 2-02
(VOL 1-1V)	ED HAZARDOJE WASTE SITES 04/01/85	- BOUTWELL , S.H. ,	final	350 1		OSMER #9355 0 08
		ET AL./ANDERSON-MICHOLS AND (I) - OBMER/CIENR				
1		- AMON, D.C. AND BORNIELL, JR.,				
		TO AMERI				
2015 - 4 ISB ICY ON FEED HEARN AND WELLAND ASSES	SSMINIS FOR CIRCLA ACTIONS 08/01/85	- HIDEMAN, JR., W.N. ZOFFR - LUCERO, G. ZOHPE	fimi	9 1		(ISMR #9280 U 02
2016 4 REMETEND RESISTINGS AT INCAPEDIAS WASTE STI	TES SUMMRY REPORT 03/01/84	- CRD/MERL	Final	95 1		EPA 540/2-84/002A
2007 4 REVISIO PROXITARES FOR IMPLEMENTING OFF-	-SITE RESPONSE ACTIONS 11/13/47	- PORTER, J. W. /OSMER	Final	20 2	:	OSMER #9034 11
AND 4 RIVES IMMOVEMENTS	07/23/67	- IONDEST, H.L. /OURR	linal	11 2	1) RI/FS IMMOMMATS	CISMER #9355 0:20
2019 4 RIFFS IMPROVEMENTS FOLLOW UP	04/25/88	- LONCEST, H.L. /COERR	Final	16 2	1) RIVES IMPROVEMENTS FOLLOW-UP	(19MER #9355 3-05
					2) REMEDIAL INFORMATION TRANSIER ACTIVITIES	
2010 4 SEPERFEND FEDERAL-LEAD REMEDIAL PROJECT	MANACEMENT HANDBUCK 12/01/86	- CIEPR	Draft	179 1		OSMER #9355 1
2011 - 5 SUPERFLAD REMEDIAL DESIGN AND REMEDIAL A	ACTION CLIDANCE 06/01/86	· CERR	final	100 1		CISHER #9355 0-4A
2012 - 5 SUPERIUM) STATE LEAD REMEDIAL PROJECT M	MANACEMENT HANDROOK 12/01/86	- OER	Limit	120 1		(ISMER #9355 2 1
** RI/IS - RI Data Quality/Site & Waste Assess	sment	•				
2100 - S A CIMPINDIUM (V. SUPERIUM) FIELD OPERATIO	Che MERITE 13/01/49	COMP		550 1		
2101 — 6 EMTA QUALITY OBJECTIVES FOR REMEDIAL RES LEVELOWINT PROXESS	UNG ME IF ULG	- CIERR - CIEPE	final	33U I		(ISMIR #9355 O-14

-INDEX-

COMPONDIUM OF CERCLA RESPONSE SELECTION CUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

(X #								
14)	vol. Hille	Oale	Authors	Status	Pages	Tier	Al laciments	OSMER/EPA NUMBEI
7107	6 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES FOR REMEDIAL RESPONSE ACTIVITIES EXAMPLE SCHWOLD RIVES ACTIVITIES AT A SITE W/ CONFAMINATED SOILS AND CROLINIMATER.	03/01/87	- CDM FEDERAL PROCRAMS CORP - CERR/CMPE	final	170	1		CISMER #9355 0-7H
2103	6 DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF PAZARIXXES WASTE REACTIVITY TESTING PRODUCE.	02/01/84	- WOLBACH, C.D., E1. AL./ACLREX.CORP	Final	150	1		EPA-600/2-84-057
2104	6 FIELD SCREENING FOR ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS IN SAMPLES FROM HAZARICUS WASTE SITES	04/02/86	- ROFFMAN, H.K., ET AL A-LIS CORP. - CARTER, A /MICHICAN DEPT OF NATURAL RESOLACES	Final	11	2	1) MEMO FIELD SCREENING FOR CREANIC CONTAMINANTS	
			· WOMAS, T /EPA					
2105	6 FIELD SCREENING METHODS CATALOG - USBR'S CLAIDE	09/01/88	- OERRAGED	Final	90	1		EPA/540/2-00/005
2106	6 FIELD STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES MANUAL #4-SITE ENTRY	01/01/85	- OERRARSD	Final	29	2		OSKER #9285 2-01
2107	7 FIELD STANDARD CHERATING PROCEDURES MANUAL #6-WORK ZONES	04/01/85	- DERRARSD	Final	19	2		GS/ER #9285 2 04
2108	7 FIELD STANDARD CHERATING PROCEDURES MALME AN AIR SURVEILLANCE	01/01/05	· CERRA GCD	Final	24	3		OSMER #9285 2 03
3109	7 FIEID SIMIMID (PIRATING PRIXXIXES MALAL 09-SHE SAFETY PLAN	04/01/85	· CEMPA-PRID	final	26	2	1) SAMPLE STILE SAFETY PLAN AND CRIM SAFETY PLAN 2) EMERCENCY CHIRATION CRIES REAL TIME MONITOR 3) RESIGNEE SAFETY CHECK-CRE SHEET	OSMER #9285 2-05
}11 0	7 CECENNSICAL METERIS FOR LOCATING ABANIXINED WELLS	07/01/84	- FRISCHMECT, L.M., ET. AL. A.) S. CICICICAL SLRVEY - VANEE, J. J. FEMSL	final	211	1		EPA-600/4-84 065
2111	7 CROTHNISICAL TECHNIQUES FOR SENSING BURIED WASTES AND WASTE MICRATION	06/01/84	- BENSON, R.C., ET AL /TECTNOS, INC VANEE, J. J. /EASL	Linal	236	•		EPA-600/7-84/064
2117	8 CLICELINES AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR PREPARING QUALITY ASSLANCE FROM MIXEMENTATION	06/01/87	· CREATER THEM EXPLANABLE A VITTAL THE TRANSPORT OF THE T	final	31	2	1) MEMO CUIDANCE ON FREPARING QUIPS DATED 6/10/87	
2113	8 LABORATORY DATA VALIDATION FUNCTIONAL CURDILINES FOR EVALUATING INTRONHOS ANALYSES	07/01/88	EPA DATA REVIEW MORK CROUP BLEYLER, R. /VIAR AND CO /SAMPLE MONT OFFICE - HSED	Draft	20	2		
2114	B LIMITERATORY DATA VALIDATION FUNCTIONAL QUIDELINES FOR EVALUATING ORGANICS ANNLYSES	02/01/88	- BLEYLER, R. /VIAR AND CO /SAMPLE MOMI- OFFICE - EPA DATA REVIEW WORKCROLP	Orafi	45	3		
			- 16ED					

-INDEX-

COMPENDIUM OF CERCLA RESPONSE SELECTION CUIDANX DOCUMENTS

(RH F8)	vol 1111e	∩ate 	Authors	Status	-			OSMER/LPA NUMBEI
2115	B FRACTICAL CUIDE FOR CROUND-WATER SAMPLING	09/01/85	6 - BARCELONA, M.J., ET.AL /ILLINOIS ST. WATER SURVEY	Final	175	1		EPA/600/2-85/104
2116	B SEDIMENT SAMPLING QUALITY ASSURANCE USER'S QUIDE	07/01/85	- SCALF, M.R. ADROVERL 5 - BARTH, D.S. & STARKS, T.S. ALMIV OF NEV, LAS VEGAS	Final	120	•		EPA/600/4-85/048
2117	8 SHI SANITING CLANITY ASSURANCE USER'S CUIDE	05/01/84	- BROWN, K W /EARD - BARTH, D S. & MASON, B J /U OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS	Final	104	•		EPA 600/4-84/043
2118	9+ TEST METHODS FOR EVALUATING SOLID WASTE, EMBORATORY MANUAL PHYSICAL/O EMICAL METHODS, THIRD EDITION (VOLUMES IA, IB, IC, MO		- BRORN, K. /ORD/E/AD 6 - OSMER	Final	3000	•		
2119	11) 11 USER'S CUIDE TO THE CONTRACT LABORATORY PROCRAM 1	12/01/84	- OBBR/CLP SAMPLE MANAGEMENT OFFICE	Final	220	2		CEMER #9240 0-1
••	RI/FS - Land Disposal Facifity Technology							
3 M M.)	12 COVERS FOR CHIZINFRALED PAZARELLE WASTE STIES	09/01/85	F - MCANENY, C.C., ET AL 7U.S. COE7MES - 10U/IT-COPID, J.M. 71 MERI	Final	475	2		EPA/540/2-85/002
7201	13 DESIGN CONSTRUCTION, AND EVALUATION OF CLAY LINERS FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES	11/01/88) - COLDIMAN, J.L., ET. AL. ANUS. - ROLA HER, M.H. ARREL	Final	500	2		EPA/530/SW-86/007F
2302	13 EVALUATING COMER SYSTEMS FOR SOLID AND EMPARIOUS WASTE	09/01/82	F - LUTTON, R J /U S A COE/WES - LANDRETH, R E /MERL	Final	58	2		OSMER #9476 00 1
2203	13 CUIDANCE MANUAL FOR MINIMIZING POLEUTION FROM WASTE DISPOSAL SLIFES	08/01/76	- TOLIANN, A L , ET AL /A W MARTIN ASSOCIATES, INC - SANNING, D E /MERL	final	83	1		EPA-600/2-78-142
2204	13 LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS	08/11/87	- LONCEST, H.L./JOERR - LUCERO, C./ORPE	Final	23	2	1) SLMMRY OF MAJOR ILR PROVISIONS AND CALIFORNIA LIST PROHIBITIONS 2) OTHER ATTACKS CITED ARE AVAILABLE IN	

WASTES

- INDEXCOMPENDIUM OF CERCLA RESPONSE SELECTION CUIDANCE DOCLMENTS

110 to vol title Author s Status Pages Tier Atlactments OSMER/EPA NUMBER FFD REG 2305 14 LINING OF WASTE CONTAINMINT AND OTHER IMPOUNDMENT FACILITIES. 09/01/88 - MATRECON. INC. Linal 950 2 · LANDRETH, R. ACROARISK REDUCTION PICINEBRING LAB 2316 15 LINING OF WASTE IMPOUNDMENT AND DISPOSAL FACILITIES 03/01/83 · LANDRETH, R /MERL Linal 480 2 OSMER #9480 00 4 1307 15 PRIXABLES FOR MIXELING FLOW THROUGH CLAY LINERS TO DETERMINE 01/01/84 - OSH traff 145 2 OSMER #9480 00 9D REQUIRED LINER THIOWESS 2708 15 RORA CUIDANCE EXCEMENT. LANCETTE DESIGN LINER SYSTEMS AND FINAL 07/01/82 - EPA Draft 10 2 OMR 2209 15 SETTLEMENT AND COMER SUBSTICIONCE OF HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILLS. 05/01/85 - M.RFW. W.L. Final FPA-600/S2 85 015 PROJECT SLAWARY · CHBERT, P.A. 2210 15 SUPPLEMENTARY QUIDANCE IN DETERMINING LINER/LEADING COLLECTION 08/07/86 - WEDDLE, B.R. /PFRMITS AND STATE Final 1) ANLYSIS AND FINERIRINGING (# OSMER #9460 00 13 SYSTEM COMPATIBILITY FROTRAIS DIV & CHACHICAN DIPOSED POLYMERIC MEMBRANE LINERS MATRICIN INC 2) SEC 3019 EXPOSERE INTO AND LEAR BY ASSESSMENTS 15 TEUNICA CHEMICA CHEMICA CHEMINE CENTRALITION CHEMINA PARAMETER 10/01/86 - 1888 PARAMETER DIVIDIO 15 TEURI CISMER #9472 001 THE PARTIES WASTE LAND DISPLEAL FACILITIES. CHING DIV · USMER 2212 15 IREATMENT OF REACTIVE WASTES AT LIAZABEIDE WASTE LANDETELS. 01/01/84 - SERHER D. ET AL /ARBUR D. LITTLE. TPA/600/52 83/118 PROJECT SLAWARY . LANCRETH R /MERL 04/01/85 - SKINNER, J /OSW YHO 25 MAYLICABILLITY OF THE HOMA MINIMUM TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS Final OSMER #9480 01(85) RESPECTING LINERS AND LEADING COLLECTION SYSTEMS (Secondary Reference RIJIS Other technologies 2 NN 16 A COMPENDIUM OF TECHNOLOGIES USED IN THE TREATMENT OF HAZAREXES 09/01/87 - ORD/CERT IPA/625/8 87/014

-INDEXCOMPENDIUM OF CERICLA RESPONSE SELECTION QUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

lxx									
ND	vol Title	Date	Authors	Status P	•		Allaciments		ER/FPA Number
				•		••••	••••	••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
) W11	16 CARREN AUXICEPTION LEGITERAS FOR TOXIC CREANICS	04/01/80	- LICEBS, R A /MERL	Final	321	3		1	PA/600/8-80-021
			- CO-EN, J M /MERL						
3.303	17 ENGINEERING HWNDBOOK FOR HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATION		- BONNER, T.A., ET. AL./MONSANTO	final	445	3		•	JSMFR #9488 00 5
			RESEARCH CORP						
			- OBERACKER, D. A. ZOLET						
3 A) J	17 EPA CLIDE FOR IDENTIFYING CLEANLY ALTERNATIVES AT HAZARDOUS WASTE	-	- PACIFIC NORTHEST LABORATORY	Final	120	2		ı	PA-600/3-83-063
	SITES AND SPILLS BIOLOCICAL TREATMENT		- RANIERE, L.C. /OURVALLIS BAVIRONMENTAL						
220.4	AT THE CAPE TO THE COLUMN WHITE AND ACCOUNT		RESEARCH LAB						
	17 EPA CLADE FOR INFECTIOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT		- OSHER/OSH	Final	75	•		(15MER #9410 00-2
1 ×17	17 CHEWAS LOOK MINE FOR CLEANUP OF SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT SITES	06/01/86	- CLIMANOTOMARD-CLYDEARDY F WESTON BARRH, EAGENR	f limit	19	1		•	EMIR #9380 0 06
2 214	17 CLIDANCE COCUMENT FOR CLEANUP OF SURFACE TANK AND ESTAIN SITES	05/28/85	- COMMODDIMED-CLYDE/ROY F MESTON/C C	final	135				
* ***	The state of the s	03. 10. 03	IO-NON	71141	.,,	•		•	TEMER #9380 0:03
	1		- BARRI, E. AND BIXLER, B /OBER						
) W17	THE ENVIRONMENT OF THE PART OF	06/01/61	- BRENTELD. I AND BASS. I /ARTICE D	final	4 19			•	IPA-600/2-83-076
			LITTLE INC		•••	•		'	11-X - 600/ 2 - 8 3 - 0/6
			PARIN HR /MRL						
) NIA	18 FINN BITTIC FOR STANILLIZATION/SCHIDIFFICATION OF FINZARITES WASTE	06/01/86	- CLALININE JR M J ET AL AUS	final	125	1		,	PA/540/2-86-001
			CIE MES					•	
			- FOLTH-COPD. J M /CRD/FMERL						
2 809	19 I WHERET REMIDIAL ACTION AT WASTE DISPOSAL SITES (REVISED)	10/01/85	- CRDAHERL	Final	560	1		•	PA/625/6-85/006
			- OSMER/CERR						
2310	20 LEWINE MANAGEMENT	11/01/85	- REPO. E AND KUES, C / JRB ASSOCIATES	Final	590	1			PA/540/2-85/004
			- BARKLEY, N./EPA						
2311	20 MODILE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES FOR SUPERFUND WASTES	09/01/86	- CAMP, DRESSER, AND MOKEE INC	Final	130	1	•		PA/540/2-86-00 H
			- CALER, L.D.ARSD						
3313	21 PRACTICAL CUIDE-TRIAL BLANG FOR LIAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS	04/01/86	- CORMAN, P , ET AL /MIDNEST RESEARCH	Final	6)	2		E	PA/600/1:86/050
			INSTITUTE						
			- CBERACKER, D. A. A-MERL						
2111	21 FRACTICAL CLADE-IRIAL BLANK FOR HAZARDOLIS WASTE INCINERATORS, FROJECT SLAWARY	07/01/86	- CORMON, P., ET AL /MIDNEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE	Final	2	1		F	PA/600/52 86/050
			· CBERACKER, D.A. ALMERL						

-INDEX-

COMPRIOR OF CHICA RESPONSE SELECTION CUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

(xx)								
ND	vol title	Date	Author s	Status P	eges I	ier	Altaciments	OSMER/EPA NUMBER
2244	21 FROMBITION ON THE PLACEMENT OF BLAK LIQUID HAZARDOUS WASTE IN	06/11/84	· OSMER/OSM	Final	35		1) and the same of the manner of	(T)
7114	I MEN I I LS STATUTURY INTERPRETIVE QUIDMOE	00/11/00	Compression	r 114g1	37	•	1) MENO RE SAME SUBJECT FROM WILLIAMS, M E /OSM	CISMER #9487 00-2A
2315	21 REVIEW OF IN-14 ACE TREATMENT TECHNIQUES FOR CONTAMINATED SURFACE	11/01/84	- SIMS, R.C., ET AL./JRB ASSOCIATES	Fliss	350	1		EPA-540/2-84-003b
	SOILS VOL. 2 BACKCROUND INFORMALTON FOR IN-SITU TREATMENT		- BARKLEY, N /MERL					
2316	21 REVIEW OF IN-PLACE TREATMENT TECHNIQUES FOR COMMINATED SURFACE	09/19/04	- CISMER/CISMR	Final	165	ι		FPA/540/2-84-003a
	SOILS-VOL I TECHNICAL EVALUATION		· CRD/MERL					
2317	22 SILVRY TRENCH CONSTRUCTION FOR POLEUTION MICRATION CONTROL	02/01/84	· CIERR	Final	2 20	1		[PA/\$40/2-\$4-001
			- CROVMERIC					
2318	22 SYSTEMS TO ACCELERATE IN SETU STABILIZATION OF WASTE DEPOSITS	09/01/86	- AMJURER, M., ET AL /BN/IROSPHERE OD	Final	285	1		EPA 540/2-86/002
			- CILIE, W.AHERL					
1119	23 TECHNICKY SCREENING CLIUSE FOR TREATMONT OF CIRCLA SOFES AND SILLXES	09/01/88	- OSMER/OBBR	limi	1 30	1		EPA 540/2-86/004
2320	22 TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY BRITES ALTERNATIVES TO HAZARDOLS WASTE	07/01/86	- HHERL	Final	35	2		EPA/600/8-86/017
	LWEN LIFE							
••	RI/IS - Cacund Water Munitoring & Protection							
2 4 cm)	23 CRITERIA FOR IDENTIFYING AREAS OF MANIFABLE EMPROCEOLOGY UNIX	07/01/86	· (ISMF) (Chick	Final	950	,		(11)
• • • •	RCRA STATUTURY INTERFRETIVE CUIDANCE	0,,0,,00			730	-		(ISMER #9472 00 2A
2401	24 FINAL RORA COMPRESENSIVE CROUND WATER MONITORING EVALUATION (CME)	12/19/86	· LUCERO, G A /OMPE	final	55	2	1) RELATIONS HP OF TEQ NICAL	OSMER #9950 2
	(JAMCII EDAMNI)						INIDEQUICIES TO CROUND WATER	CONC. 77730 .
							PIRICRANCE STAYMERS	
2402	24 CROLID-WATER MODIFICRENCE AT CLEAN-CLOSING SURFACE IMPOLIDMENT AND	03/31/88	- FURTER, J W /OSWER	Linal	,	3	•	OSMR #9476 00 14
	MASTE PILE UNITS							
2403	24 (ROLIN)-WATER PROTECTION STRATEGY	08/01/84	- OFFICE OF CROLND-WATER PROTECTION	Final	65	2	•	EPA/440/6-84-003
2404	24 CUITALLINES FOR CROLING-WATER CLASSIFICATION UNDER THE EPA	12/01/86	- OFFICE OF OROLAD-WATER PROTECTION	Diaft	600	2		
	CRUMO-MATER PRICIFICATION STRATEGY							
2405	24 (PERATION AND MAINTENANCE INSPECTION OUTDE (RORA OROUND-WATER	03/30/88	- OBMER/OMPE/ROTA ENFORCEMENT DIVISION	Finat	50	3	1) TRANSMITTAL MEMO RE SAME SLEDJECT	CISMER #9950-3
	MINITERING SYSTEMS)							

-INDELCOMPENDIUM OF CERICLA RESPONSE SELECTION QUIDANCE DOQUIENTS

KE)								
ND	vol title	Date	Authors	Status	Pages	Hei	Attaciments	OSMER/EPA NUMBEI
				•••••	••••			
2406	24 PROJUNTE FOR ORGEN)-WATER EVALUATIONS		- HAZARDOUS WASTE CROUND WATER TASK FORCE	Linat	200	2		OSMIR #9080 0 1
1407	25 RCRA CHOLND-WATER MONITORING TECHNICAL ENFORCEMENT CURLINNICE DOCUMENT (TECH)	09/01/86	- FPA	fliul	270	2		OSMIR #9950 1
7408	25 RORA CROUND-WATER MUNITORING TECHNICAL BNFORCEMBNI CLUIDWNCE (XXXLMBNI), TECD: DXECUTIVE SLAWARY	07/01/87	- LUCERO, G A /OPPE	Final	•	1		OSMER #9950 1-a
••	ARARS .							
(KKK	25 APPLICABILITY OF THE HISMA MINIMUM TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS RESPECTING LINERS AND LEADINTE COLLECTION SYSTEMS	04/01/85	· SKINNER, J /OSW	Final	3	2		(ISMER #9480 01(85)
HKIT	25 CIRCLA COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL STATUTES	10/02/85	- PORTER, J W ZOSHER	Firel	19	1	1) POTENTIALLY APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND AFFROMENIATE REQUIREMENTS	CSMR #9234 0-2
3003	25 CERCEA COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS MANLIAL	06/06/88	- CHIR	Draft	245	2		CISMER #9234 1-01
)(KK)	25 EPA'S IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SUPERIUM AMERICANTS AND REALITION ACT OF 1986	05/21/67	- TECHAS, E. M. /EPA	final	4	2		
Яни	ALEMAN (I) ENCIR TO HATAR REDRANCE OF RECYCLIES FINANCE ENCIRED TO A THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	01/01/86	- INDUSTRIAL ECONOMICS, INC - OBM	Final	350	3		OSMER #9441 00-2
MIXIS	25 INTERIM REPAYCHELA CHILMACE ON MIN-CONTIGUES STILS AND ON STILE MANAGEMENT OF WASTE AND TREATMENT RESIDUE.	03/27/86	- PORTER, J W ZOSMER	Final	8	2	1) COMBINING HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES FOR REM ACTION	OSMR #9347 0-1
24(H)	2) CRITERIA FOR IDENTIFYING AREAS OF MUNICIPAL EMURICIEOLOGY UNDER RCRA STATUTORY INTERPRETIVE CUIDANCE (Secondary Reference)	07/01/86	- OSMER/OSM	FIIMI	950	3		USHER #9472 00-2A
2401	24 FINAL ROTA COMPREENSIVE ORGAND-WATER MONITORING EVALUATION (CME) CUITANCE DIXEMENT (Secondary Reference)	12/19/86	- IUCERO, G A /OMPE	Final	55	2	1) RELATIONS HP OF TEO NICAL INNOLQUICLES TO OROLINI WATER PERFORMINGE STANDAINS	OSMER #9950 2
2405	24 (34RATION AND MAINTENINGE INSPECTION QUIDE (RORA (RCEND-WATER MINITURING SYSTEMS) [Secondary Reference]	03/30/88	- OSMER/UNIE/RORA ENFORCEMENT DIVISION	Linal	50	7	1) TRANSMITTAL MEMORE SAME SUBJECT	(ISMER #9950-)
2407	25 RCRA CROUND-WATER MONITORING TECHNICAL ENFORCEMENT CLAIDANCE UXCLMENT (TECD) [Secondary Reference]	09/01/86	- EPA	final	270	2		OSMER #9950 1
2408	25 RCRA CROUND-HATER MONITORING TECHNICAL ENFORCEMENT CLIDANCE LIXILMENT, TECHNICAL EXECUTIVE SLÄMARY (Secondary Reference)	07/01/87	- LUCERO, G A./ONPE	Final	•	1		OSMER #9950 1-a

-INDEX-

COMPONENTIAL OF CONCLA RESPONSE SELECTION CLADANCE DOCUMENTS

{××								
No	vol title	Onte	Authors	Status	Pages 1	lier	Allactments	OSMER/EPA NUMBER
	••••					•		
270	15 RCRA (LHDANCE EXCLMENT LANSFILL DESIGN LINER SYSTEMS AND FINAL CXMER (Secondary Reference)	07/01/82	- tPA	txaft	30	2		
900	32 RORA/CERCLA DECISIONS MADE ON REMEDY SELECTION (Secondary Reference)	06/24/85	- KILPATRICK, M /COMPLIANCE BRANCH, CHIE	final	3	2		
••	water Quality							
4f x Y	26 ALTERNATE CENCENTRATION LIMIT CUIDANCE PART 1, ACL POLICY AND INTORNATION REQUIREMENTS	07/01/87	- CEN/MAD	Limi	124	2		OSMIR #9481 00 60
400	26 CLICHNOCE EXCLUMENT FOR PROVIDING ALTERNATE WATER SUPPLIES	02/01/86	- OENR	Final	64	2		OSMER #9355 3-03
400	26 INTERIM FINAL (DIDANCE ON REMOVAL ACTION LEVELS AT CONTAMINATED DRINKING WATER SITES	10/06/87	· OSMER/CERR	Final	•	2		OSMLR #9360 1-01
400	26 (JALLITY CRITERIA FOR WATER 1986	05/01/87	- OFFICE OF MATER REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS	Linal	325	2		IPA/440/5-86-001
) yı	16 CARBUN ADSTRIPTION ISUITERAS FOR TOKIC CREANICS (Secondary Reference)	04/01/80	- CORBS, R A /MERL - COREN, J M /MERL	LIMI	321	2		EPA/600/8-80-023
1111)	1 INTERMATION ON ERINCING MATER ACTION LEVELS [Secondary Reference]	04/19/88	- FIEUS, JR., 1 ZOSMERZERO	Hiral	17	2	1) MEMO RELEASES FROM LAMILLEY MEPLIED PESTICIDES	
							2) MEMO LEICIS CONTAMINATION	
							3) CUIDANCE FOR ETHYLENE DIERCHIDE IN DRINKING 100	
••	Risk Assessment						·	
¥XX	23 ATRIR FEALTH ASSESSMENTS ON MPL STEEL TE	06/16/86	- DEPT. OF HEALTH AND HUMIN SIRVICES/ATSIDE	thard	14	,		
500	27 OFMICAL, FINSICAL & BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPOUNDS PRESENT AT HAZAROULE WASTE SITES	09/27/85	- CLEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC	timi	320	2		OSMIR #9850)
500	27 FINAL CUIDANCE FOR THE COORDINATION OF ATSIX HEALTH ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES WITH THE SUPERFUND REMEDIAL PROCESS	05/14/87	- PORTER, J. W. /OSMER/OERIR - ATSOR	final	12	2	1) SAME TITLE, DATED 4/22/87	CISHER #9285 4 02
\$00	27 CLIDELINES FOR CARCINOXEN RISK ASSESSMENT (FEDERAL REGISTER, STPTIMITE 24 : 1986, p. 33997)	09/24/86	· fPA	Final	13	3		

-INDEX-

COMPENDITURE OF CERCIA RESPONSE SELECTION QUIDNICE DOCUMENTS

(x) No Vol	11110	Onte	Author's						
M) VOI					-		Altaciments		OSMER/EPA Number
	•••	• • •					***** *****		
5004 27	QUILLELINES FOR EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT (FEDERAL RECESTER, SEPTEMBER	09/24/86	- EPA	Finat	14	2			
	24, 1986, p. 34042)								
SC#15 27	CLAUSELINES FOR HEALTH ASSESSMENT OF SUSPECT DEVELOPMENTAL	09/24/86	- EPA	Final	14	2			
	IUNICANIS (FEDIRAL RECISTER, SEPTEMBER 24, 1986, p. 34028)								
5(H)6 27	CUILLELINES FOR MUTACONICITY RISK ASSESSMENT (FEDERAL REGISTER,	09/24/86	· EPA	Final	6	2			
	SIPTEMBER, 24, p. 34006)								
5007 17	CUIDELINES FOR THE HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHEMICAL MIXTURES	09/24/86	· EPA	final	13	2			
	(FEDERAL RECISIER, SEPTEMBER 24, 1996, p. 34014)								
5008 28+	HEALTH EFFECTS ASSISSMENT COOLING-ITS (SA CHEMICAL PROFILES)	09/01/84	· ONDAD-EYNECVO	Final	1750	2			
	VOL. 28: ACCIONE, ARSENIC, ASSESTOS, BARILIA, BENEZO(A)PYRENE.		· OSMER/OBER						EPA/540/1-86/001-058
	CALMILM, CARBON TETRATECRIDE, OR CHORENGENE, OR CROWNE,								
	GILCROFORM, COAL TARS, COPPER, CRESOLS, CYANIDE, COT, 1,1-DIGILCROETEWE, 1,2-DIGILCROETEWE; VOI. 29								
	1.1-DIO LORGE HAN ENE. 1.2-DIO LORGE HAN ENE.								
	CIS-1,2-DIO & CROIT INVENE ET MARRIMENE COM LA ETITERS.								
	HEXADE CREDENT LEXAL OF CHILD THE CHILD THE CHILD								
	CONTROL MUMBED INTENANCET PROTORINGUESYCKET								
	CIMPLENDS) LEND LINIME, MANIMESE (AND COMPLENDS), MERCURY								
	METHYL ETHYL KETCHE, METHYLENE CHECKICH, NORTHWIENE, NICKEL,								
	OC JOY , MURITIMMENT, KHENTI, KOLINI								
	POLYCHORINATED BIPHINMLS (POBS), POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC								
	IMERCEARISCHE (PARE), PYRENE, SELENIUM (AND COMPOUNDE), SCOIUM							•	
	CYANICE, SLETLRIC ACID, 2,3,7,8-TETRACHEORODIBENZO-p-DIOKIN,								
	1.1,2.2-TETRAGILORGETIANE, TETRAGILORGETIALENE, TOLLENE,								

5009 31 INTEGRATED RISK INFORMATION SYSTEM (IRIS) TA COMPUTER-BASED - . O EA HEALTH RISK INFORMATION SYSTEM AVAILABLE THROUGH E-MAIL--BROOTERE UN ACCESS IS INCLUDED!

1,1,2-TRIGA CROETHWE, 1,1,1-TRIGA CROETHWE, TRIGA CROETHMLENE, 2,4,5-TRIGALOROPHENOL, 2,4,6-TRIGALOROPHENOL, TRIVALENT OFROMILM.

VINIL CHORIDE, XYLENE, ZINC (AND COMPOUNDS)

· INDEX -

COMPENDIUM OF CERCLA RESPONSE SELECTION CLICANCE DOCUMENTS

(xx								
ND	vol title	Date	Authors	Status (Pages I	ier	Af factments	OSMER/EPA NUMber
			•••••		• - • • •			
501	31 INTERIM POLICY FOR ASSESSING RISKS OF "DIOXING" OTHER RIVN	01/01/61	B Charles and a second	-1				
*****	2.3.7.8-10ID	01/0//6/	· H-CMAS, L M /EPA	finai	50	3	TO THE PROPERTY OF LAND	
	7,3 / 6 ida						RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH ENPOSERES TO	
5011	31 PUBLIC HEALTH RISK EVALATION DATABASE (PERED) (USER'S MANUAL AND I	00/16/88	- CERRATION OF INTEGRALICATION OF MAIN	flost	_		MIXILRES 10/86	
,,,,	TWO DISKETTES CONTAINING THE DBASETTE PLUS SYSTEM ARE INCLUDED!	07710700	- COMPICATION INTEGRATION BRANCH	Final	-	4		
5012	3) ROLE OF ACUTE TOXICITY BIOASSAYS IN THE REMEDIAL ACTION PROCESS OF	08/01/87	- ABEV I A FT M MACIFIC MORNEST	Cinal	106			ID. 1100.10 AD
2	ALLWANDS WASIE SITES		LABURATURY	*******	100	•		EPA/600/8-87/044
			- MILLER, W.E. ACERVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL					
			RESEARCH LAB					
5013	31 SLPERFUND EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT MANUAL	04/01/88	- CIENR	Final	160	ı		OSMER #9265 5-1
5014	31 SUPERIORD PUBLIC LEALTH EVALUATION MANUAL 1	10/01/86	- CERR	Final	500	1		COMER #9285 4-1
			- CISMER					
5015	31 TOKICOLOGY HWYTHOOK	08/01/85	· LIFE SYSTEMS, INC	Draft	126	2		CGMR #9850 2
			· TYBLESKI, T E /OPPE					
(% # #	32 IN WHITEMINE ASSESSMENT CHILDHAX (Secundary Reference)	11/22/05	PORTER J. W. /OSHER	finat	11	2	•	OSMER #9050 0-1
			•					
••	CIST AMIYSIS							
ын	32 REMEDIAL ACTION COSTING PROCESSIANNUM. 1	10/01/87	- JRB ASSOCIATES/OFQM HILL	Linal	56	1		
			- CRD/MERL					
			- OSMER/OEBR					
	· •		- OSMER/OBER	final	170	1		OSMER #9360 0-02H
(100)	1 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL ACTIONS (Secondary of	04/13/87	- DERRYEND	Final	6	2		OSMR #9318 0 05
	Reference)							
••	eximunity Relations							
7,00	11 COMMAND WAS AT ONE IN CORPORAD. A LIMITED OF CHARGE COMMAND	04 (01 (5-						
//4#	32 COMMUNITY RELATIONS IN SUPERFUND: A HANDBOOK (INTERIM VERSION) of	06/01/88	- USA	Final	186	3	1) CHAP 6 OF THE COM REL HANDIKEN	OSMER #9230 0-0 H
							11/03/88	

PW E NO 12 05/16/89

- INDEX -

COMPRIDIUM OF CERCLA RESPONSE SELECTION CUIDANTE DOCUMENTS

	(x>								
-	141	vol title	Date	Authors	Status P	ages I	ier	Attactments	OSMER/EPA NUMBEI
						· · · · ·		•••••	
•	•	Enforcement							
	1 000	12 ENDWYZERMENT ASSESSMENT OUIDANCE	11/22/06	- PORTER, J W /OSMER	Final		_		
•		11 DOM DONA VOICEMENT COLORECT	11/12/03	· router, j w rosees	7 (154)	11	Z		OSMER #9050 0-1
(BOO 1	32 INTERIM CUIDANCE ON POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY PARTICIPATION	05/16/88	- PORTER, J W /OSMER	final	37	2		CISHER #9635 1a
		IN REMEDIAL INVESTIGATIONS AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES							
•	•	Selection of Remedy/Decision Documents							
•	9000	32 INTERIM CLIDWICE ON SUPERFUND SELECTION OF REMEDY	12/24/06	- Porter, J.W /Osker	final	10	3		OSMR #9355 0-19
	enn i	1) REPARCING A DECISIONS MADE ON REMETAL SELECTION	06/24/85	- KUPATRICK & MOMPLLACT REACH ON	Of Linal	•			



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Attachment D

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO. IL 60604-3590

NOV 1 7 1993

REPLY TUITHE ATTENTION OF

HSE-5J

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, Inc. c/o Howard Greenberg, Esq. 201 Struble Road State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Re: Nease Chemical Site

Salem, Ohio

Dear Mr. Greenberg:

Enclosed please find an executed copy of the Administrative Order by Consent issued for this Site pursuant to Sections 106 and 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 9606 and 9622. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

If you have any questions regarding this Order, please contact Cynthia N. Kawakami, Assistant Regional Counsel, at (312) 997-0564 or Sheila Sullivan, On-Scene Coordinator, at (312) 886-5251.

Sincerely yours,

William E. Mund, Director Waste Management Division

Enclosure

cc: Janice A. Carlson, OEPA Superfund Coordinator
Mr. Ralph E. Pearce, Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, Inc.
Michael L. Hardy, Esq., Thompson, Hine & Flory

bcc: Docket Analyst, ORC (CS-3T) Cynthia Kawakami, ORC (CS-3T) Wally Nied, OSC (HSE-5J) Sheila Sullivan, OSC (HSRM-6J) Jose Cisneros, ESS (HSE-5J) Debbie Regel, ESS (HSE-5J) Mary Ellen Ryan, SFAS (MF-10J) Oliver Warnsley, CRS (HSM-5J)

EERB Site File EERB Read File

Toni Lesser, Public Affairs (P-19J) w/out attachments Sheila Huff, Department of Interior

Joseph Trocchio, OEPA

Fran Kovac, OEPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

IN THE MATTER OF:) Docket No. V-W- '94-C-2
Nease Chemical Site) ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER BY
Salem, Ohio) CONSENT PURSUANT TO
) SECTION 106 OF THE
) COMPREHENSIVE
) ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE,
Respondent:) COMPENSATION AND
) LIABILITY ACT OF 1980,
Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company,) as amended, 42 U.S.C.
Inc.) Section 9606(a)

PREAMBLE

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and the Respondent have each agreed to the making and entry of this Order by Consent. No action taken by Respondent in entering into or pursuant to this Order by Consent shall be construed as an admission of liability or violation of any federal, state or local laws.

It is issued pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by Sections 106(a) and 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(a), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. 99-499 (CERCLA), and delegated to the Administrator of the U.S. EPA by Executive Order No. 12580, January 23, 1987, 52 Federal Register 2923, and further delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response and the Regional Administrators by U.S. EPA Delegation Nos. 14-14, 14-14-C and 14-14-D, and to the Director, Waste Management Division, Region V, by Regional Delegation Nos. 14-14-A, 14-14-C and 14-14-D.

A copy of this Order, and all subsequent related correspondence and/or reports, will also be provided to the State of Ohio, which has been notified of the issuance of this Order as required by Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(a). This Order requires the Respondent to undertake and complete emergency removal activities to abate conditions which U.S. EPA has determined may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site.

FINDINGS

Based on available information, including the Administrative Record in this matter, U.S. EPA hereby finds:

- 1. The Nease Chemical Company (Nease Chemical) is located on State Route 14 approximately 2.5 miles northwest of Salem, Ohio. The plant is bordered by State Route 14 to the south, a residential area to the east, agricultural/residential areas to the north and west, and an industrial facility on the northeast. The main access gate is located on the east side of State Route 14. The Pennsylvania Railroad tracks pass through the property.
- 2. The headwaters of Middle Fork Little Beaver Creek (MFLBC) originate approximately four river miles upstream of the Nease Chemical Site. The Creek flows north from the Nease Chemical Site about five river miles through pasture lands then turns to flow south through the Beaver Creek State Park and finally empties into the Ohio River near East Liverpool, Ohio. Observed Site topography and a topographic map of the area suggest that the direction of ground water and surface water flow at the Nease Chemical property would be east toward the MFLBC. The surficial geology which is of Pleistocene (Wisconsinan) age, consists mainly of loam and the Kent glacial tills.
- The Nease Chemical Company owned and operated a chemical manufacturing plant from 1961 until 1973. Manufactured products included household cleaning compounds, fire retardants, pesticides, and chemical intermediates. During the operation of the plant, unlined lagoons were used as part of a wastewater treatment system that included air scrubbers and a multiple pond/settling tank system for neutralization and treatment of acidic wastes. Some wastes from the plant processes were put into 55-gallon drums which were buried on the Nease Chemical Company Site. 1982 and 1983, surveys were done and, as a result, approximately 100 of these drums were found and removed by Ruetgers-Nease. In 1973, Nease Chemical entered into a Consent Order with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) to discontinue manufacturing operations until a new wastewater permit could be obtained from the OEPA. Subsequently, Nease Chemical decided not to build the wastewater treatment system and, instead, chose to discontinue operations in 1973. The Nease Chemical plant was dismantled and decommissioned in 1974 and 1975 subject to the supervision of the OEPA. In 1977, Ruetgers Chemical, Inc. purchased the Nease Chemical Company along with

- all of its assets, including the vacant land at the former Salem Plant, and formed the Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, Inc. (Ruetgers-Nease).
- 4. From 1961 to 1972 the chemicals manufactured at Nease Chemical included Mirex, diphenyl-sulfone, chloramine B, benzene sulfonic acid, methoxychlor, and hexachloroethylene. Also used in the manufacture of products at Nease Chemical were chloroform, tetrachloroethane, trichloroethene, benzene, toluene, and xylene. These include compounds that are known or suspected human carcinogens.
- 5. In late 1983, the Nease Chemical Site was placed on the National Priorities List (NPL). Pursuant to the Administrative Order by Consent effective February 26, 1988, Ruetgers-Nease is presently conducting a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the Site. Ruetgers-Nease has placed 70 groundwater monitoring wells on-site and off-site and periodically monitors them for contaminants. The data from previous groundwater analyses have shown concentrations of up to 150 parts per billion (ppb) of Mirex.
- In September and October of 1987, the U.S. EPA conducted sampling of the sediments and surface water of the MFLBC. Sediment and fish samples were collected downstream of the Nease Chemical Site at the confluence of the Ohio River. Analysis of the samples indicated the presence of photomirex, diphenyl-sulfone, 3,4dichloronitrobenzene, kepone, methoxychlor, benzene, trichloroethene (TCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE), and numerous other chemical contaminants. Levels of Mirex found in the sediments and fish exceeded advisory levels developed by U.S. EPA Region V in 1989 specifically for this Site to limit human exposure downstream from the Nease Chemical Site. The Ohio Department of Health (ODH) issued a health advisory for the MFLBC, warning people not to fish or swim. The Respondent, pursuant to an Administrative Order on Consent, is currently completing updated environmental samplings on and off the Nease Chemical Site, and evaluating their potential impact as part of a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study. This study eventually will lead to a final determination by the U.S. EPA as to the need for, and type of remediation to be undertaken.
- 7. In 1987 and 1989, three farmers were advised by the ODH to limit access of their cattle to the MFLBC and adjacent sediments in order to keep levels of Mirex in

the milk and meat below the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) action level of 100 ppb. Levels of Mirex were found to be as high as 77 ppb in milk samples from the farms adjacent to the Creek.

- 3. Cn November 24, 1992, the U.S. EPA Technical Assistance Team (TAT) collected soil and water samples from a leachate seep on the Nease Chemical Site. The soil contained levels of chlorobenzene at 880 ppb and Mirex at 3,200 ppb. The water contained vinyl chloride at 190 ppb, trans-1,2- dichloroethene at 390 ppb, chloroform at 5 ppb, 1,2- dichloroethane at 67 ppb, TCE at 68 ppb, benzene at 73 ppb, toluene at 22 ppb, chlorobenzene at 340 ppb, and Mirex at 2 ppb. The Mirex samples were analyzed using EPA Method 8080.
- on April 24, 1992, U.S. EPA and TAT collected one water sample from the leachate collector, one surface water sample from an excavated drainage ditch located adjacent to the leachate collector, and one sediment sample from the excavated drainage ditch on the Nease Chemical Site. The Mirex samples were then analyzed using EPA Method 8080 for pesticides. Chemical analysis of the sediment sample indicated the presence of Mirex at 4,400 ppb, 1,2-dichloroethene (total) at 2,000 ppb, methylene chloride at 1,800 ppb, and PCE 1,900 ppb. The surface water sample revealed the presence of Mirex at 2.5 ppb, 1-2-dichloroethene (total) at 4,000 ppb, methylene chloride at 1,000 ppb, and acetone at 2,000 ppb.
- 10. On October 15, 1992, U.S. EPA and TAT collected additional sediment and water samples on or immediately adjacent to the Nease Chemical Site. The surface water sample analysis showed the presence of 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane at 1,500 ppb, 1,2-dichloroethane at 710 ppb, TCE at 420 ppb, benzene at 1,200 ppb, PCE at 570 ppb, and toluene at 120 ppb. The Mirex samples were then analyzed using EPA Method 8080 for pesticides. The sediment samples showed the presence of Mirex in all of the sample locations. The highest concentration was 170,000 ppb.

DETERMINATIONS

Based on the foregoing Findings, U.S. EPA has determined that:

- 1. Nease Chemical is a "facility" as defined by Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(9).
- 2. The Respondent is a "person" as defined by Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(21).

- 3. The Respondent is a past and present owner and operator of the Nease Chemical facility. The Respondent is therefore a liable person under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(a).
- Chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethene, chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, TCE, benzene, toluene, PCE, tetrachloroethane, and Mirex are "hazardous substances" as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(14).
- 5. The documented past and present, and/or potential for future migration of hazardous substances from the facility constitutes an actual or threatened "release" as that term is defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(22).
- 6. The actual or threatened release of hazardous substances from the facility may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment.
- 7. The actions required by this Order, if properly performed, are consistent with the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300, as amended, and CERCLA; and are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment because of the following factors:
 - a. actual or potential exposure to nearby human populations, animals, or the food chain from hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants;

Populations and the environment surrounding the Site are actually or potentially exposed to hazardous material from the Site because of the facility's proximity to residential and agricultural areas. The nearest residence is approximately 50 feet from the Nease Chemical Site. There are also some dairy farms in the vicinity of the Site. On-site tributaries, which empty into MFLBC, could provide an avenue for hazardous substances to come into contact with and contaminate wildlife and domestic animals, and to enter the food chain. A fence currently surrounds the Site. Since 1982, on-site tributaries have been addressed with the installation and periodic upgrading of fabric filter barriers, rock barriers, and the presence of soil erosion control measures such as a seeded grass covered area, geotextile erosion control matting, and diversion ditches and outlet control structures to serve as up-stream measures to limit transport of sediment into the MFLBC and to serve as an erosion control measure.

b. weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants to migrate or be released;

The facility is located in Northeast Ohio which has heavy rainstorms in the summer and heavy snow in the winter. The potential for surface run-off from the contaminated areas of the Site to the surrounding property exists during heavy precipitation events. There is also a potential for contaminant migration into the groundwater and the MFLBC.

c. other situations or factors which may pose threats to public health or welfare or the environment.

The potential exists for surface water contamination to migrate from the Site to the MFLBC, a tributary of the Ohio River. A sediment sample collected from the off-site tributary adjacent to the east side of the railroad tracks, has indicated Mirex at elevated levels.

<u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the foregoing Findings and Determinations, and pursuant to Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(a), it is hereby ordered and agreed that Respondent will undertake the following actions at the facility:

- 1. Within thirty (30) calendar days after the effective date of this Order, the Respondent shall submit to U.S. EPA for approval, and to OEPA, a Work Plan for the removal activities ordered as set forth in Paragraph 4 below. The Work Plan shall provide a concise description of the activities to be conducted to comply with the requirements of this Order. The Work Plan shall be reviewed by U.S. EPA, which may approve, disapprove, require revisions, or modify the Work Plan. The Respondent is required to submit to U.S. EPA and OEPA, a revised Work Plan within ten (10) business days of any disapproval of the Work Plan by U.S. EPA. The Respondent shall implement the Work Plan as finally approved by U.S. EPA, including any modifications. Once approved, the Work Plan shall be deemed to be incorporated into and made a fully enforceable part of this Order.
- 2. The Work Plan shall contain a Site safety and health plan, a sampling and analysis plan, and a schedule of the work to be performed. The Site safety and health plan shall be prepared in accordance with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations applicable to Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response, 29 CFR Part 1910. The Work Plan and other submitted documents shall demonstrate that the Respondent can properly conduct the actions required by this Order.

- 3. The Respondent shall retain a contractor qualified to undertake and complete the requirements of this Order, and shall notify U.S. EPA of the name of such contractor within five (5) business days of the effective date of this Order. U.S. EPA retains the right to disapprove of any, or all, of the contractors and/or subcontractors retained by the Respondent. In the event U.S. EPA disapproves of a selected contractor, the Respondent shall retain a different contractor to perform the work, and such selection shall be made within two (2) business days following U.S. EPA's disapproval.
- 4. Within five (5) business days after U.S. EPA approval of the Work Plan, the Respondent shall implement the Work Plan as approved or modified by U.S. EPA. Failure of the Respondent to properly implement all aspects of the Work Plan shall be deemed to be a violation of the terms of this Order. The Work Plan shall require the Respondent to perform, and complete within ninety (90) calendar days after U.S. EPA approval of the Work Plan, at a minimum, the following removal activities:
 - a. Prior to the complete installation and operation of the on-site leachate treatment system, continue to collect all contaminated groundwater from the existing collection areas, and properly dispose of it off-site; and
 - b. Install float activated pumps in the existing groundwater collection system and initiate continuous pumping of leachate.
 - Upon the effective date of this Order, the Respondent shall take steps to commence operation of the existing on-site leachate treatment system located in the metal warehouse building on the Nease property. Nease will ensure that the existing on-site leachate treatment system is operating within 10 business days of the effective date of this Order. Nease will meet all substantive permit requirements for effluent discharge. Within 15 business days of the effective date of this Order, when the system is effectively operating, Nease will conduct a oneweek trial run of the treatment system and will have the data from the trial run analyzed. trial run and data analysis period must be completed within 45 calendar days. If the analyzed results demonstrate that the existing treatment system is unable to meet permit requirements, Nease will so advise U.S. EPA by telephone and in writing within two business days of receiving the results and will provide U.S. EPA with a copy of such analytical results. will then have 45 days from notification to

develop and submit to U.S. EPA for its approval, a Work Plan Addendum for the necessary modifications to the system, and a schedule for implementation of the modifications that includes a final date upon which the system will be fully operational. Within 7 calendar days of receipt of U.S. EPA's approval of the Work Plan Addendum, Nease will commence implementation of those modifications in accordance with the approved schedule, and will achieve compliance with those effluent discharge permit requirements by no later than the date specified in the Addendum to the Work Plan that is finally approved by U.S. EPA.

- d. Review shallow hydrogeologic data from the Remedial Investigation and July 29, 1993 sampling results and, utilizing that information, 1) develop, as an addendum to the Work Plan, surface water management and leachate collection and treatment measures for the area of the Nease Chemical Site that lies Northeast of the Conrail tracks, and 2) develop, as an addendum to the Work Plan, any additional necessary measures for the existing leachate collection and treatment system on the Nease Chemical Site at large. The addendum to the Work Plan shall include a schedule for the installation of the work discussed therein.
- e. In accordance with the work schedule contained in the final U.S. EPA-approved addendum to the Work Plan addressed in subpart d. above, completely install and commence operation of the surface water management and leachate collection and treatment measures for the area of the Nease Chemical Site that lies Northeast of the Conrail tracks, and completely install and commence operation of any additional necessary measures for the existing leachate collection and treatment system on the Nease Chemical Site at large.
- f. Inspect, monitor, contain, and address documented leachate releases and seeps until the commencement of Remedial Design/Remedial Action activities at the Site, or the termination of this Order, whichever comes first.
- 5. All materials removed from the Nease Chemical facility shall be disposed of or treated at a facility approved by the On-Scene Coordinator and in accordance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. Section 6901, et seq., as amended, the U.S. EPA Revised Off-Site Policy, and all other applicable Federal, State, and local requirements.

- 6. On or before the effective date of this Order, the Respondent shall designate a Project Coordinator. The U.S. EPA has designated Sheila Sullivan, of the Remedial and Enforcement Response Branch, Section II, as its On-Scene Coordinator. The Cn-Scene Coordinator and the Project Coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Order. To the maximum extent possible, communication between the Respondent and the U.S. EPA, and all documents, reports and approvals, and all other correspondence concerning the activities relevant to this Order, shall be directed through the On-Scene Coordinator and the Project Coordinator. During implementation of the Work Flan, the OSC and the Project Coordinator shall, whenever possible, operate by consensus, and shall attempt in good faith to resolve disputes informally through discussion of the issues.
- 7. The U.S. EPA and the Respondent shall each have the right to change their respective designated On-Scene Coordinator or Project Coordinator. U.S. EPA shall notify the Respondent, and the Respondent shall notify U.S. EPA, as early as possible before such a change is made. Notification may initially be verbal, but shall promptly be reduced to writing.
- 8. The U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator shall have the authority vested in an On-Scene Coordinator by the NCP, 40 CFR Part 300, as amended, including the authority to halt, conduct, or direct any work required by this Order, or to direct any other response action undertaken by U.S. EPA or the Respondent at the facility.
- 9. No extensions to the time frames in this Order shall be granted without sufficient cause. All extensions must be requested, in writing, and shall not be deemed accepted unless approved, in writing, by U.S. EPA.
- 10. This Order and all instructions by the U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator or designated alternate that are consistent with the National Contingency Plan and this Order shall be binding upon the Respondent, and the employees, agents, contractors, successors and assigns of the Respondent.
- 11. To the extent that the facility or other areas where work under this Order is to be performed is owned by, or in possession of, someone other than the Respondent, the Respondent shall attempt to obtain all necessary access agreements. In the event that after using their best efforts the Respondent are unable to obtain such agreements, the Respondent shall immediately notify U.S. EPA and U.S. EPA may then assist the Respondent in gaining access, to the extent necessary to effectuate the response activities described herein, using such means as it deems appropriate. The Respondent shall reimburse U.S. EPA for all attorneys' fees and court costs it incurs in assisting the Respondent to obtain access.

- 12. The Respondent shall provide access to the facility to U.S. EPA employees, OEPA employees, and U.S. EPA- and OEPA-authorized contractors, agents, and consultants at anytime, and shall permit such persons to be present and move freely in the area in order to conduct inspections, including taking photographs and videotapes of the facility, to do cleanup/stabilization work, to take samples, to monitor the work under this Order, and to conduct other activities which the U.S. EPA determines to be necessary.
- 13. This Order shall be effective on the date of signature by the Director, Waste Management Division.
- 14. The Respondent shall provide a written monthly progress report to the On-Scene Coordinator regarding the actions and activities undertaken under this Order. At a minimum, these progress reports shall:
- a. Identify the location of current work and activity;
- b. Describe status of work and progress to date;
- c. Demonstrate the percentage of work completed in accordance with the approved schedule;
- d. Describe difficulties encountered during the reporting period;
- e. Describe actions being taken to rectify problems;
- f. Describe activities planned for the next month;
- g. Identify changes in key personnel;
- h. List target and actual completion dates for each element of activity, including the project completion;
- i. Provide an explanation of any deviation from the milestones in the Work Plan schedule;
- j. Provide a summary of all environmental sampling that occurred during the reporting period; and
- k. Provide all sampling results received during the reporting period.
- 15. The Respondent agrees to retain for six years following completion of the activities required by this Order copies of all records, files and data relating to hazardous substances found on the Site, or related to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Order, whether or not those documents were created pursuant to this Order. The Respondent shall acquire and retain copies of

all documents relating to the Site that are in the possession of their contractors, agents and employees. The Respondent shall notify U.S. EPA and OEPA at least sixty (60) calendar days before any documents retained under this Paragraph are to be destroyed. The documents retained under this Paragraph shall be made available to the U.S. EPA and OEPA upon request.

- 16. The Respondent shall pay all past costs and oversight costs of the United States related to the Nease Chemical Site which are not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan. The United States shall submit an itemized cost statement entitled "Itemized Cost Summary" to the Respondent annually or, if sooner, not less than 60 calendar days after submission of the Final Report provided for in Paragraph 25 of this Order. Payments shall be made within 60 calendar days of Respondents' receipt of the cost statement. Payments shall be made to the EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund delivered to the U.S. EPA, Attn: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 70753, Chicago, Illinois 60673, in the form of a certified or cashier's check payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund." The face of the check should note that the payment is for the Nease Chemical Site, Superfund Site Identification Number A3. A copy of the check(s) submitted must be sent simultaneously to the U.S. EPA representatives indicated in Paragraph 17 below.
- 17. A notice, document, information, report, plan, approval, disapproval or other correspondence required to be submitted from one party to another under the Order shall be deemed submitted either when hand delivered or as of the date of receipt by certified mail, return receipt requested.

Submissions to the Respondent shall be submitted to:

Ralph E. Pearce Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, Inc. 201 Struble Road State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Howard Greenberg, Esq.
Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, Inc.
201 Struble Road
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Submissions to the U.S. EPA shall be submitted to:

Sheila Sullivan
Remedial Project Manager/On-Scene Coordinator
OH/MN Remedial Response Section II (HSRM-6J)
U.S. EPA - Region V
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604

And:

Cynthia N. Kawakami Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel (CS-3T) U.S. EPA - Region V 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604

And:

Joseph Trocchio
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
2110 East Aurora Road
Twinsburg, Ohio 44087

18. If any provision of this Order is deemed invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Order shall remain in full force and effect.

ADDITIONAL WORK

19. In the event that U.S. EPA or the Respondent determines that additional work is necessary to accomplish the objectives of this Order, U.S. EPA shall specify in writing the reasons why such additional work is necessary and a schedule for completion; provided, however, that any such work should be consistent with the NCP, CERCLA, and Ohio state law and not arbitrary and capricious. If the Respondent does not agree to perform additional work as specified by U.S. EPA, the dispute shall be resolved pursuant to Paragraphs 34 - 38 of this Consent Order.

Any additional work determined to be necessary to the Respondent shall be subject to the approval by the U.S. EPA.

Any additional work determined to be necessary by the Respondent and approved by the U.S. EPA or determined to be necessary by the U.S. EPA shall be completed by the Respondent in accordance with the standards, specifications and schedule determined or approved by the U.S. EPA, except in the event that Respondent has invoked the dispute resolution provisions of Paragraphs 34 - 38 of this Consent Order.

STIPULATED PENALTIES

20. For each day the Respondent fails to meet the deadlines set forth in the Consent Order and Work Plan, the Respondent shall be liable as follows:

- a. For failure to submit a complete Work Plan pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Order at the time required under terms of this Order: Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars per day for the first one (1) to seven (7) days of delay, and One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) per day for each day of delay, or part thereof, thereafter:
- For failure to commence and perform work prescribed in this Consent Order and a U.S. EPA approved Work Plan: One Thousand (\$1,000) per day for the first one (1) to seven (7) days of delay, and Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) per day for each day of delay, or part thereof, thereafter;
- c. For failure to submit the monthly written Progress Reports pursuant to Paragraph 14, or the Final Report pursuant to Paragraph 25, at the time required under the terms of this Order: Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250) per day for the first one (1) to seven (7) days of delay, and Five Hundred Dollars (\$500) per day for each day of delay, or part thereof, thereafter;
- d. For failure to comply with any provisions of this Order after notice by U.S. EPA of noncompliance: Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) per day for the first one (1) to seven (7) days of delay, and Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000) per day for each day of delay, or part thereof, thereafter;
- 21. All penalties which accrue pursuant to the requirements of this Order shall be paid within fifteen (15) business days of written demand by U.S. EPA. Payment shall be made to the EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund delivered to the U.S. EPA, Attn: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 70753, Chicago, Illinois 60673, in the form of a certified or cashier's check payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund." The face of the check should note that the payment is for the Nease Chemical Site.
- 22. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. Section 3717, interest shall accrue on any amount of overdue stipulated penalties at a rate established by the United States Treasury. Stipulated penalties shall accrue, but need not be paid, during any dispute resolution period concerning the particular penalties at issue. If the Respondent prevails upon resolution, the Respondent shall pay only such penalties as the resolution requires.
- 23. Payment of Stipulated Penalties will not relieve the Respondent from complying with the terms of this Consent Order. U.S. EPA retains the right to seek any remedies or sanctions available to U.S. EPA by reason of Respondent's noncompliance with the provisions of this Consent Order that are not otherwise expressly limited by these Stipulated Penalty provisions.

PENALTIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

24. The Respondent is advised pursuant to Section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(b), that violation or subsequent failure or refusal to comply with this Order and any Work Plan approved under this Order, or any portion thereof, may subject the Respondent to a civil penalty of no more than \$25,000 per day for each day in which such violation occurs, or such failure to comply continues. In addition, failure to properly provide removal action upon the terms of this Order, or other subsequent orders issued by U.S. EPA, may result in liability for punitive damages pursuant to Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C Section 9607(c)(3).

TERMINATION AND SATISFACTION

- 25. The Respondent shall submit to U.S. EPA and OEPA, a final report summarizing the actions taken to comply with this Order. The report shall contain, at a minimum: identification of the facility, a description of the locations and types of hazardous substances encountered at the facility upon the initiation of work performed under this Order, a chronology and description of the actions performed (including both the organization and implementation of response activities), a listing of the resources committed to perform the work under this Order (including financial, personnel, mechanical and technological resources), identification of all items that affected the actions performed under the Order and discussion of how all problems were resolved, a listing of quantities and types of materials removed, a discussion of removal and disposal options considered for those materials, a listing of the ultimate destination of those materials, and a presentation of the analytical results of all sampling and analyses performed and accompanying appendices containing all relevant paperwork accrued during the action (e.g., manifests, invoices, bills, contracts, permits). The final report shall also include an affidavit from a person who supervised or directed the preparation of that report. The affidavit shall certify under penalty of law that based on personal knowledge and appropriate inquiries of all other persons involved in preparation of the report, the information submitted is true, accurate and complete to the best of the affiant's knowledge and belief. The report shall be submitted within sixty (60) calendar days of completion of the work required by the U.S. EPA.
- 26. The provisions of this Order shall be deemed satisfied upon payment by Respondent of all sums due under the terms of this Order and upon the Respondent's receipt of written notice from U.S. EPA that the Respondent has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of U.S. EPA, that all of the terms of this Order, including any additional tasks consistent with this Consent Order

which U.S. EPA has determined to be necessary, have been completed. Respondent has the right to request the U.S. EPA in writing to approve the termination and satisfaction of thi. Consent Order at any time after the submission of the final report and before the commencement of remedial action activities at the Nease Chemical Site.

INDEMNIFICATION

27. The Respondent agrees to indemnify and save and hold harmless the United States Government, its agencies, departments, agents, and employees, from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, acts or omissions of the Respondent, its officers, employees, receivers, trustees, agents, successors or assigns, in carrying out the activities pursuant to this Order. The United States Government shall not be held as a party to any contract entered into by the Respondent in carrying out activities under this Order.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

- 28. This Order is not intended for the benefit of any third party and may not be enforced by any third party.
- 29. The U.S. EPA and the Respondent reserve all rights, claims, demands, and defenses, including defenses and denials of and to all determinations and findings, that they may have as to each other except as otherwise provided in this Order pursuant to any available legal authority. Nothing in this Order shall expand the Respondents' ability to obtain pre-enforcement review of U.S. EPA actions. Notwithstanding any reservation of rights, the Respondent agrees to comply with the terms and conditions of this Order and consents to the jurisdiction of the U.S. EPA to enter into and enforce this Order.
- 30. Nothing herein is intended to release, discharge, limit or in any way affect any claim, causes of action or demands in law or equity which the parties may have against any persons, firm, trust, joint venture, partnership, corporation, or other entity not a party to this Order for any liability it may have arising out of, or relating in any way to, the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, disposal, release or threat of release of any hazardous substance, hazardous waste, contaminant or pollutant at or from the Site. The parties to this Order hereby expressly reserve all rights, claims, demands and causes of action they may have against any and all other persons and entities who are not parties to this Order.

31. Nothing herein shall be construed: 1) to prevent U.S. EPA from exercising its right to disapprove work performed by the Respondent that is not in accordance with the specific language and/or the intent of an approved Work Plan for this removal action; 2) to prevent U.S. EPA from seeking legal or equitable relief to enforce the terms of this order; 3) to prevent U.S. EPA from taking other legal or equitable action not inconsistent with the Covenant Not To Sue in Paragraphs 41 through 43 of this Order; 4) to prevent U.S. EPA from requiring the Respondent in the future to perform additional activities pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601 et seq., or any other applicable law; or 5) to prevent U.S. EPA from undertaking response actions at the Site.

FORCE MAJEURE

- 32. The Respondent shall cause all work to be performed within the time limits set forth herein and in the approved Work Plan, unless performance is delayed by "force majeure". For purposes of this Order, "force majeure" shall mean an event arising from causes entirely beyond the control of the Respondent and its contractors which delays or prevents the performance of any obligation required by this Order. Increases in costs, financial difficulty, normal inclement weather, and delays encountered by the Respondent in securing any required permits or approvals are examples of events that are not considered to be beyond the control of the Respondent.
- 33. The Respondent shall notify the OSC within 24 hours after the Respondent becomes aware of any event which the Respondent contends constitutes a force majeure, with subsequent written notice within seven (7) calendar days of the event. Such written notice shall describe: 1) the nature of the delay, 2) the cause of the delay, 3) the expected duration of the delay, including any demobilization and remobilization resulting from the delay, 4) the actions which will be taken to prevent or mitigate further delay, and 5) the timetable by which the actions to mitigate the delay will be taken. The Respondent shall implement all reasonable measures to avoid and/or minimize such delays. Failure to comply with the notice provision of this Paragraph shall be grounds for U.S. EPA to deny the Respondent an extension of time for performance. The Respondent shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the event is a force majeure, that the delay is warranted under the circumstances, and that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay. If U.S. EPA determines a delay is or was attributable to a force majeure, the time period for performance under this Order shall be extended as deemed necessary by the OSC to allow performance.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 34. The Parties to this Order on Consent shall attempt to resolve expeditiously and informally any disagreements concerning implementation of this Order on Consent or any Work required hereunder.
- 35. In the event that any dispute arising under this Order on Consent is not resolved expeditiously through informal means, any party desiring dispute resolution under this Section shall give prompt written notice to the other parties to the Order.
- 36. Within ten (10) calendar days of the service of notice of dispute pursuant to Paragraph 35 above, the party who gave notice shall serve on the other parties to this Order a written statement of the issues in dispute, the relevant facts upon which the dispute is based, and factual data, analysis or opinion supporting its position, and all supporting documentation on which such party relies (hereinafter the "Statement of Position"). The opposing parties shall serve their Statement of Position, including supporting documentation, no later than ten (10) calendar days after receipt of the complaining party's Statement of Position. In the event that these 10-day time periods for exchange of Statements of Position may cause a delay in the work, they shall be shortened upon and in accordance with notice by U.S. EPA.
- 37. An administrative record of any dispute under this Section shall be maintained by U.S. EPA. The record shall include the written notification of such dispute, and the Statements of Position served pursuant to the preceding paragraphs.
- 38. Upon review of the administrative record, the Director of the Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA, Region V, shall resolve the dispute consistent with the NCP and the terms of this Order.

NON-ADMISSION

39. The consent of the Respondent to the terms of this Order shall not constitute or be construed as an admission of liability or of U.S. EPA's findings or determinations contained in this Order in any proceeding other than a proceeding to enforce the terms of this Order.

CERCLA FUNDING

40. The Respondent waives any claims or demands for compensation or payment under Sections 106(b), 111 and 112 of CERCLA against the United States or the Hazardous Substance Superfund

established by 26 U.S.C. §9507 for, or arising out of, any activity performed or expenses incurred pursuant to this Consent Order.

41. This Consent Order does not constitute any decision on preauthorization of funds under Section 111(a)(2) of CERCLA.

COVENANT NOT TO SUE

- 42. Upon termination and satisfaction of this Administrative Order pursuant to its terms, for and in consideration of the complete and timely performance by the Respondent of the obligations agreed to in this Order, U.S. EPA hereby covenants not to sue the Respondent for judicial imposition of damages or civil penalties for any failure to perform obligations agreed to in this Order except as otherwise reserved herein.
- 43. Performance of the terms of this Order resolves and satisfies the liability of the Respondent to U.S. EPA for work satisfactorily performed under this Order. U.S. EPA recognizes that, pursuant to Section 113 of CERCLA, the Respondent, upon having resolved their liability with the U.S. EPA for the matters expressly covered by this Order, shall not be liable for claims for contribution regarding matters addressed in this Order. Nothing in this Order precludes the Respondent from asserting any claims, causes of action or demands against potentially responsible parties (PRPs) who are not parties to this Order for indemnification, contribution, or cost recovery.
- 44. In consideration of the actions to be performed by the Respondent under this Order, the U.S. EPA covenants not to sue the Respondent, its successors or assigns for any and all claims which are available to the U.S. as against the Respondent under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA concerning all matters satisfactorily performed.
- 45. Nothing herein shall be deemed to grant any rights to persons not a party to this Administrative Order by Consent, and U.S. EPA and Respondent reserve all rights against such persons.

SUBSECUENT AMENDMENT

46. This Consent Order may be amended by mutual agreement of U.S. EPA and the Respondent. Any amendment of this Consent Order shall be in writing, signed by U.S. EPA and the Respondent and shall have as the effective date, that date on which such amendment is signed by U.S. EPA.

IN THE MATTER OF: NEASE CHEMICAL SITE, SALEM, OHIO

Region V, Complainant

SIGNATORIES

Each undersigned representative of a signatory to this Administrative Order on Consent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Order and to bind such signatory, its directors, officers, employees, agents, successors and assigns, to this document.

Agreed this 4th day of November, 1993.
By: Il-le Jillie.
(Signature of representative)
President & CEO
(Title of representative [type])
Ruetgers-Nease Corporation d/b/a Ruetgers-Nease Chemical Company, In
(Name of Respondent [type])
201 Struble Road
State College, PA 16801
(Address of Respondent [type])
The above being agreed and consented to, it is so ORDERED
this day of, 1993.
By: Mr.
William B. Muno, Director
Waste Management Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency